IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

EMPLOYERS MUTUAL CASUALTY		
COMPANY,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.) Case No. 2:05-CV-01108-	-DRB
)	
ARNBEG & ALLEN CONSTRUCTION,)	
LLC, STEVEN D. ARNBERG, JIM ALLEN,)	
MICHAEL O. LUNSFORD AND)	
DEBRA O. LUNSFORD,)	
)	
Defendants,)	

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS ARNBERG & ALLEN CONSTRUCTION, LLC AND JIM ALLEN

Come now Defendants Arnberg & Allen Construction, LLC ("Arnberg & Allen") and Jim Allen (Defendants Arnberg & Allen and Jim Allen collectively referred to herein as "Defendants") and hereby answer the allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint as follows:

- 1. Defendants lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 1.
 - 2. Admitted.
 - 3. Upon information and belief, admitted.
 - 4. Admitted.
 - 5. Upon information and belief, admitted.
 - 6. Upon information and belief, admitted.
 - 7. Admitted.
- 8. Defendants lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 8, and therefore deny the same.

- 9. Defendants admit that the underlying complaint generally asserts the allegations referenced in Paragraph 9.
- 10. Defendants admit that the underlying complaint generally asserts the allegations referenced in Paragraph 10.
- 11. Defendants admit that the underlying complaint generally asserts the allegations referenced in Paragraph 11.
- 12. Defendants admit that the Lunsfords are seeking compensatory damages, emotional distress damages and costs in the underlying action. Defendants deny that the Lunsfords are seeking attorney's fees in the underlying action.
- 13. Defendants lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 13, and therefore deny the same.
 - 14. Admitted.
- 15. Defendants state that the complaint in the underlying action speaks for itself. Without limiting the foregoing, Defendants deny that all of the causes of actions that are referenced in Paragraph 15 have been asserted against both Arnberg & Allen and Jim Allen.
 - 16. Upon information and belief, admitted.
 - 17. Upon information and belief, admitted.
- 18. Defendants deny that Arnberg & Allen and Jim Allen are not entitled to coverage under the commercial general liability policy.
- 19. Defendants deny that Arnberg & Allen and Jim Allen are not entitled to coverage under the umbrella policy.
 - 20. Admitted.

1058921.doc 2

Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief it seeks and demand strict proof thereof.

DEFENSES

Without assuming any burden of proof that it would not otherwise bear, Defendants assert the following defenses:

FIRST DEFENSE

Defendants deny the material allegations of the Complaint and demand strict proof thereof.

SECOND DEFENSE

Defendants assert the defense of waiver.

THIRD DEFENSE

Defendants assert the defense of estoppel.

FOURTH DEFENSE

Defendants reserve the right to assert other defenses and claims when and if it becomes appropriate in this action.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Richard H. Allen
Robert F. Northcutt (NOR015)
Richard H. Allen (ALL053)

Attorneys for Defendants Arnberg & Allen Construction, LLC and Jim Allen

OF COUNSEL:

CAPELL & HOWARD, P.C. 150 South Perry Street (Post Office Box 2069) Montgomery, AL 36104 (36102-2069) (334) 241-8000

Facsimile: 334-323-8888

1058921.doc 3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 20^{th} , 2005, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Cynthia A. Martin, Esq. James Alfred Kee, Jr. Kee & Selby, LLP 1900 International Park Dr., Suite 220 Birmingham, AL 35243

/s/ Richard H. Allen

1058921.doc 4